## PROGRESS

# Simulations of the inner magnetospheric energetic electrons using the IMPTAM-VERB coupled model

Angelica Castillo<sup>1</sup>, Yuri Shprits<sup>1,2</sup>, Natalia Ganushkina<sup>3</sup>, Nikita Aseev<sup>1,2</sup>, Dedong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Drozdov<sup>2</sup>

1 GFZ, German Research Centre For Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany and University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany 2 Department of Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA 3 Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland





### Outline

1. Introduction 2. Coupling strategy 3. Models: **3.1. IMPTAM** 3.2. VERB-3D 4. Code Coupling 5. Test Event 6. Simulations with IMPTAM 7. Processing IMPTAM output 8. Setup of VERB Simulations 9. Coupling the low-energy and outer L\* boundaries from IMPTAM 10. Summary





### Introduction

- Low energy electrons in the ring current can be accelerated up to MeV energies during geomagnetic storms and become the drivers of the radiation belt dynamics. In that sense, low energy electrons are the "seed population" of the radiation belts
- Accounting only for the dominant particle transport mechanism at the different regions of the magnetosphere acting on low energy and energetic electrons, it can be divided into 2 dynamic regions:



![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Introduction

- <u>Convection zone</u>: the dominant transport mechanism of particles is convection.
- Convection is mostly important for low energy particles with energies up to a few 100s of keV.

![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

 ExB drift dominant motion for particles at such energies

$$\mathbf{v}_E = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2}$$

where **E** and **B** are the electric and magnetic field vectors

![](_page_3_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Introduction

- <u>Diffusion zone</u>: dominant transport mechanism is diffusion (radial, energy, pitch angle, mixed energy diffusion)
- Diffusion transport is more effective on energetic particles (several 100s of keV and a few MeV)
- Dominant motion type in this region are the gradient and curvature drifts

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

radius of curvature  $R_c$  of the magnetic field lines

PROGRESS

![](_page_4_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Coupling strategy

- Model the electrons in the radiation belt region of the Earth by considering the dynamics of the electron seed population
- How to do it?
  - Combine available physics-based models of each dynamic region to compute the dynamics of each electron population.
  - IMPTAM traces low energy electrons from the plasma sheet (~ 9 Re) to geosynchronous orbit (6.6 Re).
  - The electron evolution modeled by IMPTAM is used to drive VERB-3D simulations, which describe the evolution of energetic electrons in the inner magnetosphere.

PROGRESS

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Models: IMPTAM and VERB-3D

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Models: IMPTAM

#### **IMPTAM:** The Inner Magnetospheric Particle Transport and Acceleration Model

- The model traces ions and electrons with energies up to 100s of keV from the plasma sheet to inner Lshell regions in timedependent magnetic and electric fields
- Accounts for: radial and pitch angle diffusion, waveparticle interactions, losses to the atmosphere, coulomb collions, a.o.

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Models: VERB-3D

<u>VERB-code:</u> Versatile Electron Radiation Belt code, here only 3D

 Describes the dynamics and evolution of electrons in the radiation belts calculating a numerical solution of the 3D Fokker-Planck equation (Energies: 100s of keV to MeV)

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

PROGRESS

[Haerendel, G. 1968; Schult and Lanzerotti., 1974; Shprits et al., 2008]

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Models: VERB-3D

6  $10^{4}$ r/s/cm<sup>2</sup>/ke **10<sup>3</sup>** • Wave-particle interactions: chorus \*] 4 10<sup>2</sup> (dayside, nightside), hiss, VLF-**10**<sup>1</sup> 2 CRRES satellite data transmitters, lightning, EMIC 6 104 10<sup>3</sup> r/s/cm Ľ **10**<sup>2</sup> **10**<sup>1</sup> 2 magnetopause losses Model: 3D + mixed energy-pitch angle scattering 300 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 Time, day of the year 1990 Subbotin et al. 2011 Flux, Energy = 0.9 MeV,  $\alpha_{\rm loc}$  = 85° (a) MagEIS 5 (cm<sup>2</sup> s sr keV)<sup>-1</sup> log<sub>10</sub>(Flux), L, R<sub>E</sub> 2 10/01 10/01 11/01 12/01 01/01 02/01 03/01 04/01 05/01 06/01 07/01 08/01 09/01 (b) VERB 5 (cm<sup>2</sup> s sr keV)<sup>-1</sup> log<sub>10</sub>(Flux), L<sup>\*</sup>, R<sub>E</sub> 10/01 11/01 12/01 01/01 02/01 03/01 04/01 05/01 06/01 07/01 08/01 09/01 10/01 Drozdov et al. 2016 Date, mm/dd, 2012-2013 GFZ ILMATIETEEN LAITOS PROGRESS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET

FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Helmholtz-Zentrum

### Models: IMPTAM vs. VERB-3D

#### <u>IMPTAM</u>

- Ring current model
- MLT dependent
- Computes particle dynamics due to convective transport
- Tracing of particles from the plasma sheet into inner magnetosphere
- Electrons with energies from 10 keV to a few 100s of keV

#### VERB-3D

- Model of the radiation belts
- MLT independent
- Computes particle dynamics due to diffusion processes
- Assumes particles are trapped in the radiation region
- Electrons with energies from some 100s of keV up to a few MeV

![](_page_10_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_15.jpeg)

### Code Coupling

#### Coupling of simulation codes:

- 1) Simulation of time period of interest using the convection code (IMPTAM)
- 2) The results from this simulation are used as boundary conditions to drive the simulations with the diffusion code (VERB-3D)
- 3) Electron fluxes modeled by IMPTAM are used as <u>low energy</u> and <u>outer radial</u> boundaries for VERB-3D simulations

The coupled model takes into account magnetospheric convection and diffusion

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Geomagnetic Event March 17th, 2013 storm

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

### IMPTAM model, 10 keV Electrons

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Processing IMPTAM output

- IMPTAM and VERB-3D operate in different computational grids
- IMPTAM is MLT dependent, while VERB-3D is not
- MLT average of the IMPTAM output necessary
- Further adaptation of the output due to resolution of the 3D grid
- Once this is done, we can extract the boundary conditions we want to use and set up the VERB-3D simulations

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Setup for VERB simulations

- Grid size: 49x101x91 (L\*,Energy,alpha)
- Six boundary conditions
- Initial conditions are the solution of the steady state radial diffusion equation

Boundary	Condition	Underlying Physical Processes
$\alpha_0 = 0^\circ$	$\partial (PSD)/\partial \alpha_0 = 0$	strong and weak diffusion regimes
$\alpha_0 = 90^\circ$	$\partial (PSD)/\partial \alpha_0 = 0$	flat pitch angle distribution
$L^{*} = 1$	PSD = 0	losses to the atmosphere
$L^* = 6.6$	PSD (time)	coupling with IMPTAM
$E = E_{\min}$	PSD (time)	coupling with IMPTAM
$E = E_{\max}$	PSD = 0	absence of multi-MeV
		energy electrons

PROGRESS

#### **Table 2.** Boundary Conditions Used for the VERB Code Simulations

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Low-energy and outer L\* boundaries from IMPTAM

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Simulations IMPTAM-VERB

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

PROGRESS

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Summary

- Our simulation with the coupled model has proven to be successful
- General intensification of electron fluxes in the ring current and in the radiation belts is well reproduced by the coupled model
- Improvement could be achieved by including more accurate loss rates in the IMPTAM model and magnetopause losses in the VERB-code

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)