PROGRESS Meeting 2018-08-02 – 03

WP 3 Forecast of the evolution of geomagnetic indices

Peter Wintoft, Magnus Wik, Juri Katkalov Swedish Institute of Space Physics

• Task 3.1 – Survey of existing operational models forecasting Kp, Dst, and AE Month 1-3 (IRF,USFD,SRI NASU-NSAU)

Identify existing operational Kp, Dst, and AE forecast models. Analyse their respective requirements and benefits considering, e.g. inputs, latency, lead time, and resources. Detailed knowledge is available for the models available to the team.

• Task 3.2 - Identify and collect relevant data

Month 4-6 (IRF)

Collect historic real time ACE data, Science Level 2 ACE data, Kp, Dst, and AE. An SQL database shall be set up where the data are collected. Analyse data sets with respect to quality and coverage. Also include the coming DSCOVR spacecraft in the study.

• Task 3.3 - Evaluate and verify a set of selected existing models Month 7-9 (IRF, USFD, SRI NASU-NSAU)

The models from Task 3.1 that are available to the team shall be verified using the datasets identified in Task 3.2. In this activity it is important to consider both science level data and real time data. This task also includes the identification and application of appropriate verification methodologies. As inputs methodologies from the meteorological domain [Jollife and Stephenson, 2012] and previous COST ES0803 Action [Wintoft et al., 2012] shall be used.

• Task 3.4 - Develop further existing Kp and Dst models

Month 10-24 (IRF, USFD, SRI NASU-NSAU)

The verification carried out in Task 3.3 will provide insights on how to improve existing Kp and Dst models. Classifications and categorisation methods will also be developed and applied with the purpose of improving existing models. The formulated verification strategy (Task 3.3) shall also be applied to the models.

• Task 3.5 - Develop new AE forecast models

Month 16-30 (IRF, USFD, SRI NASU-NSAU)

As a first step to provide a baseline the model in Gleisner and Lundstedt [2001] shall be implemented and verified (Task 3.3). The classifications and categorisation methods (Task 3.4) shall also be applied to provide insight to appropriate parametrisation of the high resolution (minute) solar wind and AE data. E.g., the approach in Gleisner and Lundstedt [2001] was to use 10 minute averages, however, averages are not always the most suitable way of reducing the complexity as important features may be missed. Again, the formulated verification strategy (Task 3.3) shall also be applied to the models.

• Task 3.6 - Implement models for real-time operation

Month 28-36 (IRF, USFD, SRI NASU-NSAU)

The improved and developed models shall be implemented for real time operation. The contributing institutes have long experience in this field. The data needed to drive the models shall be downloaded and stored in the database in real time. Various checks considering data quality and timeliness shall be implemented and mitigated. The output from the models shall be stored in the database and also provided over ftp/http. Simple web site with the forecasts shall be implemented tailored for this project.

PROGRESS Review Meeting 2017-12-05

D3.7

Doc No: PROGRESS_3.7

Project: PROGRESS



PRediction Of Geospace Radiation Environment and Solar wind parameterS

Work Package 3 Forecast of the evolution of geomagnetic indices

Deliverable 3.7 GMN and bi-linear Dst and Kp models: Development, testing and comparison of model outputs

> P. Wintoft, M. Wik, J. Katkalov IRF, R. Boynton, H.-L. Wei, S. Walker, M. Balikhin, R. Erdelyi USFD, V. Yatsenko, O. Cheremnykh, O. Semeniv,

J. Krivickaya, S. Ivanov, I. Mulko, A. Bespalova SRI

July 12, 2018

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 637302.



D3.7 summary

Contents

This deliverable is an extension of the PROGRESS project according to Amendment 23. It encapsulates the work originally proposed by the participant SRI as part of Tasks T3.4, T3.5, and T3.6 of Work Package 3. During the main period (2015-01-01 to 2017-12-31) of the project, SRI began to develop their forecast models for the geomagnetic indices Kp, Dst, and AE. Unfortunately, these models were never delivered. During the Project extension phase (2018-01-01 to 2018-07-31) the PROGRESS participants were given extra time to complete the development of these models and deliver the resulting products.

SRI originally promised to develop models for geomagnetic indices based on the following methodologies:

- a recursive, robust bilinear dynamical model
- a Guaranteed NARMAX Model

The Commission proposed that the Project reallocate some of the tasks allotted to SRI to other participants with the knowledge and skills to complete them. Following discussions with SRI and IRF it was agreed that

- SRI will continue to develop their models for Kp, Dst, and AE based on their Guaranteed NARMAX Model,
- USFD will develop models of the Kp and Dst indices based on the recursive, robust bilinear dynamical methodology.
- USFD will study the Lyapunov Exponents of the *Dst* data set in order to determine the forecast horizon
- IRF will investigate the performance of the models, perform an inter-comparison

These subtasks were incorporated into a new task, T3.7, within WP 3.

This document is a new deliverable, D3.7, which reports on the modelling methodologies employed, the resulting forecasts, and compares the solar wind driven prediction models of the Kp, Dst, and AE indices developed by IRF, USFD, and SRI.

1	Introduction	7
2	Data sources 2.1 OMNI 2.2 ACE L2 2.3 Kp index 2.4 Dst index 2.5 AE index	7 7 7 8 8
3	Models 3.1 Artificial Neural Networks 3.2 NARMAX 3.2.1 Guaranteed NARMAX Method 3.2.2 Genetic programming approach 3.2.3 Regression modelling approach 3.2.4 FROLS and the ERR 3.2.5 Bi-linear NARMAX models	8 9 10 10 12 12 13
4	Data sets used to develop the models	13
5	Validation techniques	13
6	Artificial Neural Network Models	15
7	Guaranteed NARMAX Models 7.1 Data source	15 15 16
8	Bilinear models 8.1 Data source 8.2 Implementation	17 17 17
9	Model Results and Discussion 9.1 GNM models	18 18 19
10	Lyapunov Exponents of the Dst index	21
11	Model comparison 11.1 Input and target data sources	22 22 25
12	Discussion and Conclusions	27

D3.7 models

[Model	Horizon	Source	Data period
NN-X	IRF-Kp-2017	3h	ACE L2	1998 - 2015 except 2001 and 2011
NARMAX	USFD-Kp	3h	OMNI	1998 - 2017
G-NARMAX	SRI-Kp-GP	3h	OMNI	2006
G-NARMAX	SRI-Kp-RM	3h	OMNI	1976 - 2008
NN-X	IRF-Dst-2017	1h	OMNI	1963 - 2015 except 1981, 1996, 2001, and 2008
NARMAX	USFD-Dst	1h	OMNI	2001 - 2002
NARMAX	USFD-Dst	3h	OMNI	2001 - 2002
G-NARMAX	SRI-Dst-GP	1h	OMNI	2006
G-NARMAX	SRI-Dst-RM	1h	OMNI	1976 - 2008
G-NARMAX	SRI-Dst-RM	3h	OMNI	1976 - 2008
NN-X	IRF-AE-2017		ACE L2	1998 - 2015 except 2001, 2005, and 2013
G-NARMAX	SRI-AE		OMNI	2013-03-12 - 2013-06-03

D3.7 sample result

Guaranteed NARMAX (SRI-Kp-RM and SRI-Kp-GP)



Figure 1: Retrospective GNM forecasts for the variation in the Kp index. Thin solid blue and red lines are low and high edges of the RM interval with 3h lead time, thick dotted red and blue lines are low and high edges of the GP interval with 3h lead time.

D3.7 comparison

Table 5: Statistical measures for all data in 1998–2017 for the Kp prediction models. Tn indicates lead time with n in hours.

Table 6: Statistical measures for all data in 1998–2017 for the *Dst* prediction models. Tn indicates lead time with n in hours. BIAS and RMSE are given in nT.

	BIAS	RMSE	CORR	$\mathbf{R2}$
Pers	0.00	0.85	0.81	0.62
IRF-Kp-T0	-0.04	0.53	0.92	0.85
IRF-Kp-T1	-0.03	0.53	0.92	0.85
IRF-Kp-T2	-0.02	0.61	0.90	0.80
IRF-Kp-T3	0.01	0.72	0.85	0.73
USFD-Kp-OSA-T1	0.10	0.71	0.86	0.73
USFD-Kp-MPO-T1	0.20	0.78	0.84	0.68
SRI-Kp-RM-T3	-0.07	0.81	0.82	0.66
SRI-Kp-GP-T3	-0.04	1.17	0.62	0.29



DIAG DAGE CODE DO

	BIAS	RMSE	CORR	R2
Pers	0.01	4.40	0.98	0.95
IRF-Dst-T0	0.69	8.70	0.91	0.82
IRF-Dst-T1	-0.15	8.80	0.90	0.82
IRF-Dst-T2	0.07	9.31	0.89	0.80
IRF-Dst-T3	-1.19	10.04	0.88	0.76
USFD-Dst-BL-T1	0.44	4.48	0.98	0.95
USFD-Dst-BL-T3	0.13	8.10	0.92	0.85
SRI-Dst-RM-T1	-0.01	3.27	0.99	0.97
SRI-Dst-RM-T3	0.07	7.24	0.94	0.88
SRI-Dst-GP-T1	-0.10	6.10	0.96	0.91



Figure 11: Linear correlation between predicted and observed Dst for the different icate that the year was not part of the training set.

Figure 9: Linear correlation between predicted and observed Kp for the different models as function of year. Dots indicate that the year was not part of the training set.

D3.7 conclusions

- Guaranteed NARMAX Models for Dst, Kp and AE indices were constructed using two different algorithms by SRI.
- All the developed forecasts with the exception of the GP-based Kp forecast, which has a too wide prediction interval, provide useful information and are ready for transition to near-real time operations.
- Bi-linear models for the *Kp* and *Dst* indices were constructed by USFD.
- The performance of each of the models generated within PROGRESS were assessed for common periods of data.
- \bullet For Kp predictions the IRF-Kp-T0 and T1 models performs best, using past Kp seems to have minor effect.
- For *Dst* predictions the SRI-Dst-RM-T1 model performs best, past *Dst* values have significant effect.
- Lead-times beyond 1 hour is generally not possible.
- For real-time implementation, if past indices are used as inputs it will reduce the lead time.



European Union's Horizon 2020 grant agreement No 637302 (PROGRESS)

IRF REST server



SWIFT input (WP 7)





The International Space Environment Service (ISES) is a collaborative network of space weather service-providing organizations around the globe. Our mission is to improve, to coordinate, and to deliver operational space weather services. ISES is organized and operated for the benefit of the international space weather user community.

ISES currently includes 16 Regional Warning Centers, four Associate Warning Centers, and one Collaborative Expert Center. ISES is a Network Member of the International Council for Science World Data System (ICSU-WDS) and collaborates with the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and other international organizations.

ISES has been the primary organization engaged in the international coordination of space weather services since 1962. ISES members share data and forecasts and provide space weather services to users in their regions. ISES provides a broad range of services, including: forecasts, warnings, and alerts of solar, magnetospheric, and ionospheric conditions; space environment data; customer-focused event analyses; and long-range predictions of the solar cycle.



, Regional Warning Center - Sweden Hosted by IRF since 2000













ESA SSA http://swe.ssa.esa.int



nT/min.

This web page forms part of the ESA Space Situational Awareness Programme's network of space weather service development activities, and is supported under ESA contract number 4000113185/15/D/MRP. For further product-related information or enquiries contact helpdesk. E-mail: helpdesk.swe@ssa.esa.int. All publications and presentations using data obtained from this site should acknowledge IRF, The Swedish Institute of Space Physics and The ESA Space Situational Awareness Programme. For further information about space weather in the ESA Space Situational Awareness Programme. For further information about space weather in the ESA Space Situational Awareness Programme see: www.esa.int/spaceweather. Access the SSA-SWE portal here: swe.ssa.esa.int

Dissemination

- Presentations at ESWW 2016 and 2017.
- Posters at ESWW 2016 and 2017, Space Weather workshop in Leiden 2017, SRS meeting in Kiruna 2018.
- Published paper "Forecasting Kp from solar wind data: input parameter study using 3-hour averages and 3-hour range values", Peter Wintoft, Magnus Wik, Jurgen Matzka och Yuri Shprits, J. Space Weather Space Clim. <u>https://www.swsc-journal.org/articles/swsc/abs/2017/01/</u> <u>swsc160051/swsc160051.html</u>.
- Submitted paper "Evaluation of Kp and Dst predictions using ACE and DSCOVR solar wind data", Peter Wintoft and Magnus Wik, Space Weather Journal, June 2018.
- Draft manuscript "Forecasting the AE indices using model and parameter studies with neural networks", Magnus Wik and Peter Wintoft, J. Space Weather Space Clim.

 Submitted to SWJ. Higher cost for open access. Covered by PROGRESS? Will occur after project ends.