



Substorm-associated effects in the variations of low energy electron fluxes in the inner magnetosphere: Does the substorm's strength matter?

N. Ganushkina (1, 2), S. Dubyagin (1), I. Sillanpää (1), D. Pitchford (3)

Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, USA
SES ENGINEERING, Luxembourg

The research leading to these results was partly funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement No 606716 SPACESTORM and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 637302 PROGRESS



Unsolved Problems in Magnetospheric Physics Workshop, Scarborough UK, September 6-12, 2015



Why are we interested in low energy electrons (< 200 keV) in the inner magnetosphere?

- The distribution of low energy electrons, the seed population (10 to few hundreds of keV), is critically important for radiation belt dynamics.
- Chorus emissions (intense whistler mode waves) excited in the low-density region outside the plasmapause are associated with the injection of keV plasma sheet electrons into the inner magnetosphere.
- Surface charging by electrons with < 100 keV can cause significant damage and spacecraft anomalies.
- The electron flux at the keV energies is largely determined by convective and substorm-associated electric fields and varies significantly with geomagnetic activity driven by the solar wind – variations on time scales of minutes! No averaging over an hour/day/orbit!

It is not easy to model (nowcast) and forecast low energy electrons

• Following low energy electrons in large-scale **magnetic and electric fields:** Correct models for these fields are extremely hard to develop

• Specification of a correct **initial conditions in the plasma sheet** is very nontrivial

• **Coefficients for radial diffusion** when electrons move from the plasma sheet (10 Re) to inner regions (<6 Re) are far from being exact.

• How to introduce low energy electrons' losses correctly? Electron lifetimes due to interactions with chorus and hiss, other waves, are they important?

•MAIN FACTOR: SUBSTORMS.

Substorms play a significant role in keV **electron transport and energy increase.** How to include them properly?



No storm is needed for 2-3 orders of magnitude increase of low energy electron fluxes at geostationary orbit

Rather quiet event

5-50 keV electrons during quiet event



The data: AMC 12 geostationary satellite, CEASE-II (Compact Environmental Anomaly Sensor) instrument with Electrostatic Analyzer (ESA) for measuring low energy electron fluxes in 10 channels, 5 - 50 keV.

- Flux increases are related to AE peaks only (less than 200 nT, small, isolated substorms)
- The lower the energy, the large the flux
- Electrons of different channels behaves differently:
- 1st peak (AE=200 nT) at midnight seen for energies > 11 keV
- 2nd peak (AE=120 nT) at dawn, increase in all energies

Not a unique case



CIR-driven storm

Small, CIR-driven storm with Dst of 75 nT, IMF Bz of -5 -10 nT, Vsw from 350 to 650 km/s, Psw peak at 8 nPa, AE peaks of 800-1200 nT

7 - 50.7 keV
l - 39.7 keV
3 - 31.1 keV
l - 24.3 keV
) - 19.1 keV

 — 11.8 - 15.0 keV
 — 9.27 - 11.8 keV
 — 7.29 - 9.27 keV
 — 5.74 - 7.29 keV
 — 4.81 - 5.74 keV

Similar increase in electron fluxes during AE = 400 nT and AE=1200 nT

February 28 - March 3, 2013



AMC12 electron data

- peaks in both 15-50 keV and 5-15 keV electron fluxes show correlation with AE
- 2 orders of magnitude increase
- all energies increase at midnight, when AE is only 200 nT
- same order of increase for AE = 800 nT and even for 1200 nT

March 14-18, 2013 20 Vsw, km/s IMF Bz, nT 10 m 0 -10 sharp V increase 600 400 Psw, nPa 8 8 pressure p<mark>eak at velocity peak</mark> 2000 AE, nT distinct substorm activity 1000 0 Dst, nT -80 sharp Dst drop -160 $1x10^{4}$ 1×10^3 e- flux, $1/(\text{cm}^2 \text{ s sr eV})$ $1x10^{2}$ 1x10 1x10 1×10^{5} $1 \times 10^{\circ}$ 1x10 1x101x10 $1 \times 10^{\circ}$ 12

March 14

March 15

March 16

March 17 March 18

CME-driven storm

Moderate, CME-driven storm with **Dst of 130 nT, IMF Bz reaching -20** nT, **Vsw** from 400 to 700, **Psw** peak at 16 nPa, **AE** peaks of 1000-2500 nT

31.1 - 39.7 keV
24.3 - 31.1 keV
19.1 - 24.3 keV
15.0 - 19.1 keV

 — 11.8 - 15.0 keV
 — 9.27 - 11.8 keV
 — 7.29 - 9.27 keV
 — 5.74 - 7.29 keV
 — 4.81 - 5.74 keV

Similar increase in electron fluxes during AE = 500 nT and AE=1500 nT

March 14-18, 2013



AMC12 electron data

peaks in both 15-50 keV and
5-15 keV electron fluxes show
clear correlation with AE peaks

- 2 orders of magnitude increase
- during quiet period before storm peaks with AE =500 nT similar to peaks with AE over 1000 nT at storm time

















7.29-9.27 keV





11.8-15.0 keV



5.74-7.29 keV



Log(flux)

Flux(MLT, Kp)

Can we state that low energy electron fluxes are organized by Kp?

Kp: **3 hour index**





31.1-39.7 keV

log(FLUX1)

5.9081

5.7258

5.5026

5.2149

4.8095

4.1163





15.0-19.1 keV









11.8-15.0 keV



Log(flux)

5.8972 Flux(MLT, AE

The higher the energy, the less distributed the flux peak

No distinct dependence on AE strength

SPACEST©RM











19.1-24.3 keV



9.27-11.8 keV



31.1-39.7 keV



log(SAMPLES) 2200 12.9287 2000 1800 12.7464 1600 12.5233 1400 1200 FLUX4, e/cm 12.2356 800 11.8301 600 400 11.1370 200 v 600 V_{sw}.nT 800 700 300 400 500

7.29-9.27 keV



24.3-31.1 keV Log(samples)





5.74-7.29 keV



39.7-50.7 keV



19.1-24.3 keV



9.27-11.8 keV



31.1-39.7 keV



15.0-19.1 keV



7.29-9.27 keV



24.3-31.1 keV Log(samples)



11.8-15.0 keV



5.74-7.29 keV



GOES 13 MAGED electron fluxes (MLT, Kp)



GOES 13 MAGED electron fluxes (MLT, AE)



GOES 13 MAGED electron fluxes (MLT, IMF Bz)









GOES 13 MAGED electron fluxes (MLT, Vsw)



GOES 13 MAGED electron fluxes, development of empirical model

$$q_{\rm EMP} = a1 \cdot 10^{V_{SW}} \cdot (a2 \cdot \text{sMLT} + a3 \cdot \text{cMLT} + a4) + b1 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{|\text{MLT} - b2|}{5} - (\frac{B_Z + 11}{6})^2\right) + c1 \quad (3)$$

Here

$$sMLT = \sin(\frac{\pi}{12} \cdot MLT) \tag{4}$$

$$cMLT = \cos(\frac{\pi}{12} \cdot MLT)$$
(5)

???

- 1. Electron (<200 keV) transport from the near-Earth plasma sheet to geostationary (inside) can not be modeled, even if particles move in IMF and SW dependent electromagnetic fields and boundary conditions, even during rather quiet times.
- 2. Need to include substorms. How?
- 3. Like electromagnetic pulse (great review given in Christine Gabrielse's talk)? What are the parameters? Most probably, not the amplitude. Location? MLT-width?
- 4. Do we need different representations for different types of substorms (isolated substorms, storm-time substorms?
- Low energy electrons (at geostationary) are not organized by AE, KP-organization misses dynamics, IMF BZ and Vsw are main parameters. For specific events: See 1. Present IMF and SW dependent models fail to represent the observed peaks associated with substorm activity (?)